1. **Call to Order** – President Ken De Angeles called the meeting to order at 10:30 a.m.
   - Pledge of Allegiance – Mayor Ostrand led the Pledge of Allegiance
   - Roll Call – President Ken De Angeles, Vice-President Ann Kagdis, Council Members Kevin Docherty, Richard Gerold, Terry Locatis and David Wagner
   - Staff Present – Town Management Consultant, Terry O’Neil; Town Attorney, Rick Crary, Town Clerk, Pam Orr, and Bookkeeper/Clerical Assistant, Kim Stanton

2. **Approval of Minutes** – Council Member Locatis, seconded by Vice President Ann Kagdis, made a Motion to approve the Minutes of the June 10, 2019 Regular Meeting.
   All in favor
   Yes: De Angeles, Kagdis, Gerold, Docherty, Locatis, Wagner
   No: None
   Motion Passed

3. **Hometown Hero Award(s) Presentation** – Patricia Christiansen, Advocacy Consultant for the Florida League of Cities and the Executive Director for the Treasure Coast Regional League of Cities, presented Mayor Karen Ostrand and Vice-President Ann Kagdis with the Florida League of Cities Home Rule Hero Award for 2019.

4. **November, 2019 Town Council Elections Proclamation** – President De Angeles read the Proclamation into the record. Vice-President Kagdis, seconded by Council Member Docherty, made a Motion to accept the 2019 Elections Proclamation.
   Roll Call:
   Yes: De Angeles, Kagdis, Gerold, Docherty, Locatis, Wagner
   No: None
   Motion Passed

5. **Quasi-Judicial Public Hearing: Consideration of Resolution 291-2019** – Mr. O’Neil introduced the Application for a PUD Amendment for Ocean Breeze East and explained that it was a Quasi-Judicial hearing. He further explained that the Applicant, Sun Communities, was represented by Marcela Camblor, who would be presenting the item.

President De Angeles asked if any of the Town Council Members had any exparte communications to disclose.

There were none.

President De Angeles asked for those giving testimony to rise, raise their right hand and be sworn in.

Attorney Crary swore in Mr. O’Neil, Ms. Camblor, Ms. Gina May and Mr. Chris Walters.

President De Angeles asked Staff to present testimony including any evidence and to state their recommendation.

Mr. O’Neil introduced Ms. Camblor.
Ms. Camblor commented that there were thirteen items to be considered as minor amendments and continued to present the Power Point presentation, explaining each item. She stated that McKenzie Engineering had reviewed this material and, in their report, there were no changes in street direction or street addresses. She stated that the Master Plan now reflected all the correct street names, which were previously approved.

Ms. Camblor discussed the following:

- entry features
- upland beach and the materials to be used for ground cover
- two specific areas where parking would be changed and that the State Code on ADA parking was reviewed by the Engineer and was in compliance
- dog park and a small pavilion, now reflected in the plan
- location of the mailbox pavilions
- recreational area and modifications, realignment of parking was in compliance
- the gate at the pier language had been updated
- clarified changes to the language for temporary parking
- proposed changes to the lighting standards, which was in compliance
- temporary, promotional signage, placement and frequency of signs
- kayak / canoe launch
- addition of the covered roof area on the pier, depicted on previous drawings but not in the PUD

President De Angeles asked if the Council Members had questions for the Petitioner.

Vice-President Kagdis asked about the number of days the promotional signs could be erected and asked if the number of days could be modified?

Ms. Camblor stated that the number of days was based on one open house and one event per year.

Discussion ensued regarding the number of days promotional material could be used, the location of the materials, types of signs, abandonment of the balloons, limited number of materials and purposes for the promotional materials.

Mr. O’Neil clarified the number of days the promotional materials could be used.

Council Member Docherty asked if the PUD could be amended for certain parking spaces he believed posed a hazard.

Mr. O’Neil stated that language could be entered into the document which stated these feather signs shall not be placed…

Council Member Docherty asked about the particular signs for certain parking spaces; he stated that drivers cannot see around the corner if larger vehicles were parked in the first three spots.

Mr. O’Neil suggested bringing this matter up during the ongoing discussion of this PUD Amendment.

Vice-President Kagdis stated that any signage along Indian River Drive should not block the vision of drivers in either direction.
Ms. Camblor stated that certain language could be added, and that feather signs were commercially approved signs and designed to be in the public right-of-way; which was why they start above eye level of a driver.

President De Angeles asked for further comments or questions by the Town Council.

Vice-President Kagdis brought up concerns regarding sheds (permitting, legality, tie-downs). She spoke about the movement of certain sheds without the proper permitting and older sheds, some grandfathered and some not.

Discussion ensued regarding possible solutions to the issue of sheds.

President De Angeles asked for comments from the Town Council.

Mr. O’Neil stated that because Sun Communities was coming before the Council for certain PUD amendments, the Town Council also could propose a minor amendment to Sun’s proposals or clarification language on the issue of the sheds. He stated that the Town Council could give zoning approval to allow for the remedial, after the fact, permitting of certain sheds.

Council Member Locates asked if this issue should be dealt with separately rather than mixed in with today’s Agenda.

Discussion ensued between Mr. O’Neil and Mr. Crary regarding the issue of the sheds, a PUD Amendment, remedial permit applications for sheds, options for the Town Council regarding these and how to proceed.

President De Angeles asked if the Town Council did not take any action, then the owner, (if they could not produce a permit for their shed) would go through the permitting process.

Mr. O’Neil answered that the dilemma was that under the currently adopted zoning code, a shed that was not legally grandfathered, cannot be permitted because it isn’t part of a building, but that it was a free standing shed and it doesn’t comply with the current PUD.

Discussion ensued regarding the PUD and the provision for attached sheds, sheds on RV sites, and permitted free standing sheds.

Mr. O’Neil spoke about the differences of the interpretation. He added that if the sheds were not shown as layout exhibits of the lots, he did not believe the sheds would be allowed because they were not on the plan. He stated that the Town would like to remedy these questionable sheds and that if the zoning were to prevent that, a PUD amendment would be necessary. He stated however, that the Council did not have to go that route.

Mayor Ostrach stated that the concerns were about safety issues during a hurricane and the need for them to be properly tied down.

Ms. Camblor stated she did not know which sheds were the topic of this discussion. She spoke about the PUD rules and prospectus rules which specifically prohibited detached sheds. She asked about the possibility of Sun fixing sheds that were grandfathered-in and attached to homes consistent with the
rules, but that she was unclear about the subject sheds; she added that detached sheds would violate other business rules.

Mr. O’Neil stated that Ms. Camblor’s statement illustrated that this approach to attempt to retroactively fix the shed issue wouldn’t be possible if Sun objected and wanted them removed. He commented about safety issues and remedial amendment to the PUD at the Town’s initiation. He spoke about other options, enforcement capabilities, the Town Attorney’s role, costs, mechanisms, code enforcement cases and removal of sheds.

Attorney Crary stated that Ms. Camblor advised that the prospectus required sheds to be attached because it was in Sun’s best interest not to have flying sheds destroy their development.

Mr. O’Neil stated that the attached sheds were the PUD compliant sheds. He spoke about the grandfathered sheds that were properly permitted.

Attorney Crary asked if the shed would still have to be tied down.

Mr. O’Neil answered that the new homes met the 180 mph wind code requirements and the older units do not meet that requirement.

Ms. Camblor stated that prior to 1992, it was not required for the sheds to be tied down.

Mr. O’Neil stated that a case could be made to Sun Communities that if these sheds were allowed to remain, it would make sense, even for the older grandfathered ones, that they be tied down or strapped so that they were safe. He commented that the Town could somehow participate to make them safe.

Attorney Crary asked Ms. Camblor how the prospectus addressed this situation.

Ms. Camblor invited Gina May to speak about the prospectus.

Ms. May advised that the Prospectus, under Statute 723, required all sheds to be attached to the home and must match the home cosmetically; so, that if the shed was attached to the home, the shed was attached to the foundation. The Prospectus did not allow for free standing sheds.

Mr. O’Neil asked what Sun did in terms of sites where the free-standing sheds already existed.

Ms. May answered that those would be on legacy homes only and Sun would go back to the file and nine chances out of ten, there was no documentation in those old files. She stated that documentation didn’t really start until Carefree took over. She added that under 723, those were grandfathered because they existed prior to Sun’s purchase and if they were not addressed within the thirty days of the purchase, Sun had to allow them. She further stated that if Sun discovered a safety or cleaning issue with a shed, Sun would address that as a violation to the rules and regulations but that Sun could not make them take it away. She stated that Sun could warn a unit owner to fix it and if they ignored those warnings, Sun would go down the legal path and after three strikes Sun would file an eviction.

Mr. O’Neil asked Ms. May if she understood what was being asked of the Town was that if there was a shed and there was no record that the shed had been permitted it was not a grandfathered situation because it wasn’t permitted in the first place. He added there were different categories of sheds and the Town was working through them. He stated that, obviously, safety was the most important issue. He asked the Town Council to possibly direct Staff to work with Sun in an attempt to prepare a reasonable
remedy process. He commented that if Sun did not object, then Staff would bring that back and that if Sun was not supportive of it or it didn’t work, then the only option would be issues of compliance.

Vice-President Kagdis stated she wished to reiterate what Ms. May said and that was anything that was in place when Carefree took over, but was not dealt with within thirty days after taking over, remained as-is. She continued that those people who had sheds that were grandfathered-in and nothing was done about it since Carefree took over, they could still keep those sheds, providing the sheds were in compliance with being tied down, safe and clean.

Ms. May stated that the Prospectus limited each owner to one shed. She added that multiple owners had two or three were made to reduce the number down to one shed.

Vice-President Kagdis stated that she thought it was fair because Sun was asking the owners to comply with the safety regulations.

President De Angeles suggested that Staff meet with Sun to figure out the best route.

Mayor Ostrand spoke about the temporary RV site sheds as being a concern to residents and asked if they were tied down.

Pam Orr, Town Clerk, answered that those sheds were fully permitted and inspected for compliance.

Mr. O’Neil asked if Staff was directed to explore the possibilities of certain accommodations with Sun Communities. He added that staff would bring it back before the Council in some form and he asked for their authorization and a motion.

President De Angeles asked for a Motion to direct Staff to work with Sun Communities on a solution to these free-standing sheds which may or may not be permitted.

Ms. Camblor asked the Town Council if it were possible to add language, under the general items wording, that would allow Sun to address this issue at a Council Meeting, which would save the Town the advertising, etc.

Attorney Crary asked if the issue was one that could be tabled and to come back for a possible resolution; a separate amendatory resolution.

Ms. Camblor answered “no,” that she was not saying table the matter. She clarified that she was asking if an agreement could be made on language that addressed issues that were grandfathered-in and that do not contradict with the internal rules…

Attorney Crary stated that if one was trying to get around the advertising requirement, it would have to be something that was separately removed for table, in which the public could be notified at this meeting. He stated that he did not believe the Town should get into all of that and take the matter separately. He added that this matter sounded like something that could be resolved without too much of a problem.

President De Angeles stated that he believed Staff should meet with Sun to come up with some ideas to present to the Council.
Council Member Locatis, seconded by Vice-President Kagdis, made a Motion for Staff to look into the situation in coordination with Sun and come back with a recommendation on how to proceed.
Roll Call:
Yes: Kagdis, De Angeles, Docherty, Wagner, Locatis, Gerold
No: None
Motion Passed 6-0

Attorney Crary pointed out changes that he would like on the Acceptance and Agreement page of the Resolution.

Ms. Camblor stated that the Applicant had no objection to this additional wording.

President De Angeles asked if Staff had any other questions.

Council Member Locatis asked if the Council could go through the categories and have an opportunity to comment on each one.

President De Angeles answered “yes”.

Council Member Gerold asked what was agreed upon regarding the signage.

Mr. O’Neil answered that he took from the Council discussion to add cautionary language regarding visibility for the feather flags.

Council Member Gerold asked if signage was to be limited to twelve events.

Mr. O’Neil answered that language would be added that said feather flags cannot obstruct traffic and that other than that, the Council had not given any instructions to change the language...

Council Member Gerold asked if the language was being changed about how often the signage could be used.

Mr. O’Neil answered that the only change to the language was what he just described and everything else remained the same. He stated that no direction was given about frequency.

Council Member Gerold asked about the kayak launch and if private docks had already been passed in the PUD.

Ms. Camblor answered that the private docks had been approved but that the appropriate route to get those docks permitted had to take place.

Council Member Gerold asked about the narrow, one-way street by the lift station on Nautical Drive.

Ms. Camblor asked to which street Council Member Gerold was referring.

Council Member Gerold answered it was at the beginning of Nautical where the original models were located, near the lift station.

Mayor Ostrand stated that it was at 1 NE Nautical Drive where the road makes the turn.
Council Member Gerold stated that this issue was brought up at the last Council Meeting and the public had concerns, and it was decided to wait for the PUD meeting today.

Mr. O’Neil stated that the applicant would need to look at that situation and talk to the Council.

Ms. Camblor stated that part of this issue had to do with a discussion in a previous meeting where some of the roads were one-way and the discussion about having to drive around the block to reach your house became heated. She spoke about the configuration of the roads and the various problems with certain ideas and that these roads were changed due to the direction of the Council. She stated that she understood the issue and that she believed this would naturally regulate traffic speed because the road had to be shared. She added that this was in contradiction to directions Sun had received from the Council in the past.

Council Member Gerold stated that this issue was brought up at the last meeting and it was side-stepped and the Council was told it was going to be discussed at this meeting. He said he didn’t necessarily want to change it, but to bring it forth at this PUD meeting.

Ms. Camblor stated that the streets were long and skinny. She added that when this process first started, Sun had a pattern of one-way streets and that Sun could check with the Town Engineer.

Council Member Locatis asked about proposed changes and dealing with the issue of the kayak launch and the upland beach. He stated that neither of these were previously shown on the PUD and asked if they were both being added.

Ms. Camblor answered that the upland beach change was a clarification to the material on the ground, and spoke about the kayak launch not being included in past PUD plans.

Council Member Locatis asked if the upland beach location changed on this PUD amendment.

Ms. Camblor answered “no”.

Council Member Locatis stated that at a previous meeting a resident came before the Council with a map that showed an upland beach on the southwest corner of the island, and that resident was upset.

Ms. Camblor stated that was a discrepancy between some marketing materials; the PUD was never changed since day one and the location of the upland beach was the same. She stated there was only one upland beach in the PUD and never an upland beach in that location.

Mr. O’Neil stated that he agreed and that if a beach were to go in that area, Sun would have to amend the PUD and they had chosen not to do that.

Council Member Gerold asked about the amenities, the layout around the pickle ball courts and surrounding area. He specifically mentioned that the horseshoe pits were removed and asked about another possible location for them.

Ms. Camblor answered that she did not know if a horseshoe pit would fit there and for Sun, given the level of scrutiny that this PUD had reached, she believed that if they were to find a spot, Sun would have to amend the PUD.
Mr. O’Neil stated that the area where the horseshoe items were placed may matter to a resident or residents. He added that someone could say that this should had been discussed beforehand.

Council Member Locatis asked about the lighting plan and lack of lighting. He added that feedback from residents was to make sure that the lights are not too bright and suggested using down-lighting.

Ms. Camblor spoke about the changes to the lighting, lighting consistency with fixtures currently installed and the ability to deal with lighting issues more fluidly.

Mr. O’Neil commented that the Town Engineer would approve a lighting plan for issues of safety. He added that if the Engineer redirected lighting, the plan was not to have to come back to the Town Council for approval of individual lights. He stated that the Town would like a Master Plan that was professionally designed and followed which could be amended, but that would be at Staff level.

Vice-President Kagdis spoke about certain streets which were well lit and other streets which were not. She stated that where these lights were placed was not a problem, but rather where they were not placed.

Ms. Camblor answered that Sun had provided a sheet that displayed where the lights were placed and another sheet displaying where the proposed new lights would be placed. She spoke about the pattern of the streets which would be followed in those places that were lit in accordance to what the Town Engineer felt was appropriate and safe. She spoke about the lighting on the RV lots and indicated that at the proposed, ultimate build-out, every lot would not have a light. She stated that the pattern of lighting would match those of the well-lit streets.

President De Angeles asked about the covered pier and construction materials.

Ms. Camblor answered that the roof was aluminum and the posts / pier were wood. She stated it would be in character with an authentic Florida pier.

Council Member Locatis asked about parking spaces in the northern area of the Resort. He commented that in the PUD, there were zero permitted spaces for visitors north of Ocean Breeze Drive. He indicated that currently there were two temporary spots, but that the PUD indicated there would be homes placed there eventually. He commented that there was one location on the northwestern turn of Nautical Drive and the map displayed that eventually a house would be built there. He suggested that this area could be made into visitor parking to fit six or seven spaces and that Sun look into this matter for the long-term because there was no parking north of Ocean Breeze Drive.

Ms. Camblor answered that long-term parking was in plan and at the PUD Amendment hearings, there were to be a number of visitor parking at the cap ends of the streets; she stated that these last two remaining spots were requested to be removed at one of the different amendment processes. She referred to the space being mentioned and replied that it was temporary space and eventually a house would be built on that lot. She remarked that this suggestion was not an option for Sun at this point and stated that Sun followed the direction of the Council. She commented that if the Town Council wanted Sun to go back and reinstall those one and two parking spaces at the end, Sun would be happy to bring that back to the Council.

Council Member Locatis stated that it would be helpful if additional lines were painted at the two temporary parking spots to indicate that three vehicles could be parked there.
Ms. Camblor answered that Sun could do the lines.

Council Member Locatis spoke about an area along the fence, near the rear entrance, in which the map illustrated golf cart parking; he stated that there were no such parking nor space for parking.

Council Member Gerold stated that he believed that when Nautical was going to be one-way going around the resort, that area had been considered for the parallel parking along the fence.

Ms. Camblor commented that she did not understand what area the Council Members were talking about.

Council Member Gerald answered by the back gate.

Ms. Camblor stated that the map did not show these spots and she was not aware if Sun was regulating golf carts parked in that area. She commented that Sun removed these golf cart parking places because the grass was more aesthetically pleasing.

Council Member Locatis stated that the spaces were currently on the map and if Sun was not going to put the spaces there, they should probably come off the map.

Ms. Camblor answered that the spaces were not on the map and she pointed to the updated map and that golf cart parking was not regulated in Sun’s parking requirements. She added that if the Council wanted Sun to pave and install those parking spots, Sun could do that.

Mr. O’Neil asked if the spaces that were being described were on the new map.

Ms. Camblor answered “no”; that the map had been updated to reflect what was actually located in that area.

Council Member Docherty asked about five parking spots at the back entrance, one block down on Coastal to NE Bay. He added that at that corner, there was no indication as to what type of vehicle parking was allowed. He pointed out that when larger vehicles were parked at the corner, a driver’s sight was blocked. He asked about installing signs that say “small cars only” in the first three spots allowing drivers to see around the corner.

Ms. Camblor answered that the PUD does not regulate different types of parking spaces; she asked if this were a request that could be presented to Sun to put “Compact Cars Only” signs. She commented that this was not a PUD issue. She stated that Sun representatives were in attendance and that she would talk to them about this issue.

Mayor Ostrand stated that Council Member Docherty was asking for a sign on the corner spots.

Ms. Camblor commented that she would speak to Sun about this request.

President De Angeles asked for further comments from the Council regarding the Resolution.

There were none.

President De Angeles asked for further comments from Staff.
There were none.

President De Angeles asked Ms. Camblor if she had further comments.

There were none.

President De Angeles asked if the public wished to make comments regarding the Resolution.

Bill Kerns, 143 Buoy, asked about the upland beach and described it as being the undeveloped land just south of the development across the street.

Discussion ensued regarding the location of the upland beach.

Mr. Kerns stated it was not a beach.

Ms. Camblor commented that it could be called something else such as “Waterfront Park”.

Mr. O’Neil remarked that the beach question often comes up so if it was not a beach, he suggested calling it something else.

Ms. Camblor answered that the Prospectus and marketing material call it the upland beach. She stated that the materials had been clarified.

Mr. O’Neil withdrew his suggestion.

President De Angeles asked for any other public comments.

Sue Sokel, 175 Portside, asked about the one-way streets, the narrow curve, and the difficulty firetrucks have navigating the area. She asked if the one-way roads could be realigned.

Ms. Camblor asked for clarification of the question and if there was any signage discrepancy, she believed that would have been in the Engineer’s comments. She added that if there was any stop sign or signage discrepancy, that it be corrected.

President De Angeles asked for a Motion to either approve the Resolution, to approve it with conditions, deny the request or to continue the hearing to another date.

Attorney Crary stated that he presumed that the Motion would be based upon the evidence that had been duly heard.

President De Angeles commented “yes.”

Council Member Locates, seconded by Council Member Wagner, made a Motion to approve Resolution 291-2019.

Mr. O’Neil asked that the Motion include the added change to the language in the signage section that dealt with visibility.

Council Member Locatis answered that his Motion included the changes to the signage section that stated the signs would not limit visibility or result in safety issues.
Attorney Crary asked that the Motion include the corrective language that he added to the Acceptance and Agreement.

President De Angeles answered in the affirmative.

Mr. O’Neil stated that those were the two conditions to the Motion that the Council should consider as part of the Motion.

Council Member Locatis added that his Motion included the Acceptance and Agreement portion attached to the Resolution.
Roll Call:
Yes: De Angeles, Kagdis, Wagner, Gerold, Locatis, Docherty
No: None
Motion Passed 6-0

6. Comments from the public on topics not on the Agenda – President De Angeles stated that he had a “Request to Speak” from Debra Garner.

She was not available.

7. Comments from the Council on topics not on the Agenda – Vice-President Kagdis acknowledged and thanked Deidre Hendry, a long-time resident of Ocean Breeze, for her wonderful article called “This was My Story” in I Care Martin County magazine. She announced that she had a few copies available for the public.

Vice-President Kagdis stated that volunteers were needed during the summer at:
- The Book Depot (which was ran by the Blake Library)
- The Elliott Museum
- The Jensen Beach Chamber of Commerce
- The Neighborhood Advisory Council meetings

8. Comments from Town Management Consultant Terry O’Neil – Mr. O’Neil stated that Martin County had requested that the Town execute an Interlocal Agreement that deals with mosquito control. He gave background information regarding county-wide mosquito control services. He added that Martin County was asking the Town to consider an Interlocal Agreement to provide these services. He explained that the Agreement allowed for mosquito control in the Town as long as the County obtained permission, in writing, from the three property owners before they proceeded. He stated that the Town had paved the way for the mosquito control but that it was entirely discretionary on the part of the private property owners within the Town. He recommended that the Council authorize the Mayor and the President to execute the Interlocal Agreement.

Vice-President Ann Kagdis, seconded by Council Member Wagner, made a motion to authorize execution of the Interlocal Agreement with Martin County for mosquito control services.
Roll Call:
Yes: Kagdis, De Angeles, Docherty, Locatis, Gerold, Wagner
No: None
Motion Passed 6 – 0

President De Angeles asked Mr. O’Neil to discuss the FY/2020 conferences and travel budget.
Mr. O’Neil spoke about the $9,000 allotted to the Town Council for conferences and travel. He remarked that the report provided a tally of each Council Member’s spending. He asked if there would be any interest in shifting or reallocating some dollars because there were some additional travel activities outstanding for some Members. He asked for direction to shift dollars for certain Members to continue their travel activities.

President De Angeles spoke about the over expenditures within the account of Vice-President Kagdis and stated that Council Members could shift funds to the overage.

Mr. O’Neil stated that it remained questionable, because of forthcoming reimbursements, whether there was an overage on Vice-Presidents Kagdis’ account.

President De Angeles stated that there were three more months of activities for certain Council Members.

Vice-President Kagdis spoke about her and the Mayor’s representing the Town at the City, County and State level. She added that the Mayor was scheduled to attend the Florida League of Cities Annual Conference in August and suggested that some shifting from the travel accounts was required in order for the Mayor to attend. She commented that if another Council Member were willing to shift their funds into her account it would be appreciated.

President De Angeles recommended shifting the Council Member travel funds in order to allow this travel.

Mr. O’Neil stated that each Council Member had a set amount so if a Council Member was willing to shift $400, it would need to be voiced.

President De Angeles stated that he would be willing for the funds to come out of his account.

Council Member Wagner stated that he would be willing for funds to come out of his account.

Mr. O’Neil stated that portions could be taken from the Council Members allotted funds who were willing to contribute.

Mayor Ostrand spoke about the upcoming Florida League of Cities Annual Conference. She stated she was on the Board of Directors and there were issues on which to vote, and she added that she was on Advocacy for Legislation. She spoke about the importance of the Town being represented.

Vice-President Kagdis stated that the Mayor attended every meeting during these conferences, so she felt it was money well worth the expenditure.

Mayor Ostrand commented that for this Annual Conference, the lodging would be $770 and the parking was included.

Council Member Docherty stated that he was willing to contribute $900 of his $1000 allotted funds.

Council Member Locatis agreed to allot $400 to Vice-President Kagdis for her travel and Council Member Docherty agreed to contribute $770 for the Mayor’s travel.

Council Member Wagner stated that he would contribute $500.
Vice-President Kagdis suggested that instead of having a per person allotment, the $9000 could be the total for the Mayor and Town Council. She stated that the monthly report would be produced to know what was being spent.

Mr. O’Neil suggested a new policy for this account during the Budget Workshop hearing. He asked Council Member Wagner if he was willing to give $500 of his account for any other unexpected travel.

Mr. O’Neil asked for permission to set the first date for the Budget Workshop and Tentative Millage hearing on Tuesday, July 30, 2019.

Vice-President Kagdis, seconded by Council Member Docherty, made a motion to set the Budget Workshop and Tentative Millage hearing for Tuesday, July 30, 2019 at 5:01 pm at Ocean Breeze Resort Club House, Pineapple Bay Room.

All in Favor
Yes: Kagdis, De Angeles, Docherty, Locatis, Gerold, Wagner
No: None
Motion Passed 6 – 0

Mr. O’Neil gave an update regarding the “Your Speed” signs. He stated that the allocation was for $5858 and the proposal for installation was higher than expected because Martin County was requiring the Town to install new poles, set in concrete. He spoke about the Budget Amendment to the Special Revenue Fund which was $13,000 encompassing three projects. He stated that the Mangrove Project did not go forward which was a $4000 allocation. He stated that Staff, with the Town Council’s permission, would need to take $850 out of the Mangrove Project and shift it to the speed sign project which would total $7,350.

Kevin Docherty, seconded by Council Member Gerold, made a Motion to shift $850 from the Mangrove Project over to the sign project.

Roll Call:
Yes: Kagdis, De Angeles, Docherty, Locatis, Gerold, Wagner
No: None
Motion Passed 6 – 0

Mr. O’Neil spoke about the assessed value of the properties within the Town increasing by 22 percent and added that in the budget efforts and millage setting there would be some flexibility recognizing that as the assessed value increases, the mileage rate would take in more dollars and perhaps the Town Council would consider lowering the millage rate for FY 2019/2020.

Vice-President Kagdis asked if an appeal was made by the property owners last year for the valuation.

Mr. O’Neil answered that there was an appeal.

Vice-President Kagdis asked if it was successful.

Mr. O’Neil answered that it was not.

9. Comments from Mayor Ostrand – Mayor Ostrand announced that on July 17, 2019, the Treasure Coast Regional League of Cities was hosting a free bar-b-que in Okeechobee for Council Members and staff.
10. **Announcements** – President De Angeles announced the following meetings to be held at Ocean Breeze Resort Clubhouse, Pineapple Bay Room.
   - Regular Town Council Meeting – Monday, August 12, 2019 at 10:30 a.m.
   - Budget Workshop and Setting of the Tentative Millage Rate for 2019/2020 – Tuesday, July 30, 2019 at 5:01 p.m.

11. **Adjourn** – Council Member Wagner, seconded by Vice-President Ann Kagdis, made a Motion to adjourn the meeting at 12:45 p.m.
All In favor: De Angeles, Kagdis, Docherty, Gerold, Locatis, Wagner
Opposed: None
Motion Passed: (6-0)

Respectfully Submitted,

Pam Orr,
Town Clerk

Minutes approved: **August 12, 2019**